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ABSTRACT

In this paper the texture, morphology, diffusion and electrical (de-) activation of dopants in polycrystalline Ge\textsubscript{x}Si\textsubscript{1-x} and Si have been studied in detail. For gate doping B\textsuperscript{+}, BF\textsubscript{3}, and As\textsuperscript{+} were used and thermal budgets were chosen to be compatible with deep submicron CMOS processes. Diffusion of dopants is different for GeSi alloys. B diffuses significantly more slowly and As has a much faster diffusion in GeSi. For B doped samples both electrical activation and mobility are higher compared to poly-Si. Also for the first time, data of BF\textsubscript{3} doped layers are presented, these show the same trend as the B doped samples but with an over all higher sheet resistance. For arsenic doping, activation and mobility are lower compared to poly-Si, resulting in a higher sheet resistance. The dopant deactivation due to long low temperature steps after the final activation anneal is also found to be quite different. Boron-doped GeSi samples show considerable reduced deactivation whereas arsenic shows a higher deactivation rate. The electrical properties are interpreted in terms of different grain size, quality and properties of the grain boundaries, defects, dopant clustering, and segregation, and the solid solubility of the dopants.

Introduction

Polycrystalline-Ge\textsubscript{x}Si\textsubscript{1-x} is an interesting gate material for sub-0.25 \textmu m complementary metal oxide semiconductor (CMOS) processes.\textsuperscript{1} By varying the Ge fraction the work function can be manipulated by 200 to 300 meV toward midgap direction. Furthermore, enhanced dopant activation at low temperatures \textsuperscript{2} has been observed. This reduces gate depletions, which is extremely important for future CMOS processes. Hence, poly-GeSi can become of importance for future deep submicron CMOS devices, especially for so-called steep retrograde well and ground plane device concepts.\textsuperscript{3} Recently, GeSi material has been studied in detail for low temperature thin film transistor (TFT) applications.\textsuperscript{4} However, relatively little work has been reported on material properties of poly-GeSi alloys for process conditions compatible with deep submicron CMOS processes.

In this paper, properties of low-pressure chemical vapor deposition (LPCVD) deposited poly-Ge\textsubscript{x}Si\textsubscript{1-x} material are studied for process conditions and temperature budgets compatible to sub-0.25 \textmu m CMOS. A thorough investigation of the morphology, dopant diffusion, and electrical deactivation of B\textsuperscript{+}, BF\textsubscript{3}, and As\textsuperscript{+} doped poly-Ge\textsubscript{x}Si\textsubscript{1-x} alloys is presented. It has been found that both p-doped and n-doped poly-GeSi behave considerably differently than reference poly-Si samples. This can be attributed to the different properties of grain boundaries in poly-GeSi which causes a difference in potential barrier energy between GeSi and Si. The p-type nature of traps cause a shift in the energy of the grain boundary trapping states leading to more traps in n-type doped GeSi and a reduction of traps in p-type GeSi compared to poly-Si. This causes the potential barriers in GeSi to be higher for n-type dopants and lower for p-type dopants compared to Si. In the case of As-doped films, the enhanced clustering of atoms and segregation of arsenic toward the grain boundaries in GeSi samples causes reduced electron concentrations and higher sheet resistance compared to Si. At very high dopant concentrations the higher solid solubility of boron and the lower solid solubility of arsenic are the main cause of the difference in electrical behavior between GeSi and Si. Boron diffusion is slower in GeSi and the diffusion of arsenic is more rapid in GeSi films, a trend also observed in monocrystalline material. For both p-type and n-type impurities the difference in activation and diffusion compensate giving comparable gate depletions results as poly-Si samples. Although there are differences, for heavily doped samples, no substantial drawbacks for application in CMOS processes have been found.

Experimental

The experiments can be split into two sets, first the diffusion experiments and second the Hall measurements to study the electrical activation. The samples for the diffusion experiments were deposited on thermally oxidized 150 mm (100) Si wafers in the vertical low-pressure chemical vapor deposition (LPCVD) reactor of an ASM Advance 600/2 cluster tool. The poly-Si and poly-Ge\textsubscript{x}Si\textsubscript{1-x} layers were deposited directly on the SiO\textsubscript{2} layer at deposition temperatures of 620 and 480°C respectively, using silane (SiH\textsubscript{4}) and germane (GeH\textsubscript{4}) as reactive gases. GeSi deposited at 620°C shows very rough layers and cannot be used. The reduced deposition temperature of 480°C for GeSi deposition is used in order to obtain smooth layers. In addition, the catalytic enhancement of the growth rate in the presence of Ge\textsuperscript{+} results in an acceptable growth rate. The layer thickness was 200 nm and the samples were implanted with either 5 \times 10\textsuperscript{15} BF\textsubscript{3} at 20 keV or 5 \times 10\textsuperscript{15} As\textsuperscript{+} at 60 keV. Small dies cut from the wafers were rapidly annealed (RTA) for 30 s in N\textsubscript{2} ambient at temperatures between 700 and 900°C for BF\textsubscript{3} and As\textsuperscript{+} implanted layers, respectively. The Ge content of the layers was 28%, determined with Rutherford backscattering spectroscopy (RBS).

The samples for the Hall measurements were grown in a conventional horizontal hot wall LPCVD reactor using SiH\textsubscript{4} and GeH\textsubscript{4} as reactive gases. The polycrystalline films were deposited on 100 nm thick layers of thermally grown oxide. The deposition temperature was 625°C and 500°C for the poly-Si and poly-Ge\textsubscript{x}Si\textsubscript{1-x}, respectively, the latter being chosen because it is the lower limit of the deposition equipment. For these samples the Ge content was determined with energy dispersive x-ray (EDX) analysis, this method was calibrated with RBS data.\textsuperscript{5} The following samples were prepared: 500 nm thick samples implanted with 5 \times 10\textsuperscript{15} to 5 \times 10\textsuperscript{16} cm\textsuperscript{-2} BF\textsubscript{3} at 70 keV, 500 nm thick samples implanted with 5 \times 10\textsuperscript{14} to 5 \times 10\textsuperscript{15} cm\textsuperscript{-2} BF\textsubscript{3} at 40 keV.
and 300 nm thick layers implanted with $5 \cdot 10^{14}$ to $1 \cdot 10^{16}$ cm$^{-2}$ As$^+$ at 100 keV. The polycrystalline layers were deposited on (100) Si wafers which were thermally oxidized in dry O$_2$ to an SiO$_2$ thickness of 100 nm. The dopant activation was done by furnace anneal at a variety of temperatures. The first 3 min of the anneal were in O$_2$ ambient followed by an anneal in N$_2$ ambient except for the 5 min anneals used for the boron doped samples, these received only 1 min of O$_2$ anneal. The goal of the initial O$_2$ anneal was to create a thin SiO$_2$ layer which could retard outdiffusion during the N$_2$ anneal.

Cloverleaf van der Pauw structures were etched in order to measure Hall mobility and dopant activation in a 0.1 to 1.2 T magnetic field. One of the difficulties with interpreting Hall measurements is that the measured Hall mobility and Hall carrier concentration differ from the electron (or hole) mobility, $\mu_{\text{Hall}}$, and concentration by a factor $n_{\text{eff}}$, the Hall scattering factor, giving

$$n_{\text{Hall}} = n_{\text{Hall}}/n_{\text{H}}$$

and

$$n = n_{\text{Hall}}/n_{\text{H}}$$

where $n$ (cm$^{-3}$) is the carrier concentration. The Hall scattering factor $n_{\text{H}}$ is unknown for poly-Si and poly-GeSi but for very high doping concentrations $n_{\text{H}}$ of polycrystalline material will approach the scattering factor for monocrystalline material. For very high magnetic fields ($\mu B > 1$) it will go toward unity, but for a mobility of $\mu = 10$ cm$^2$/V s this would require at least a magnetic field of 1000 T, so the scattering factor could not be determined experimentally. For monocrystalline Si this factor is found to be concentration dependent. For doping levels of 10$^{18}$ and 10$^{19}$ cm$^{-3}$, respectively, $n_{\text{H}}$ between 0.8 and 0.67 has been reported for p-type doped material. For n-type doped layers the scattering factor in Si varies from 1.3 at 10$^{18}$ to 0.9 at 10$^{19}$ cm$^{-3}$. Manku et al. have reported that for p-type doped monocrystalline GeSi the alloy scattering can be neglected in which case the scattering factor for GeSi is taken to be the one for the EDX data are merely a qualitative indication and a lower limit to the As segregation, the exact number of segregated atoms could not be determined. The error in the measurement itself is at most 15%. We used secondary ion mass spectroscopy (SIMS) to determine the diffusion behavior of 20 keV BF$_2^+$ and 60 keV As$^+$ ($5 \cdot 10^{15}$ atom/cm$^2$) implanted in poly-Si and poly-Ge$_{0.25}$Si$_{0.75}$ samples, annealed in an AG Associates 610 Heatpulse with tungsten-halogen lamps RTA setup, in N$_2$ ambient for 30 s between 700 and 1000°C. The samples were placed on a Si susceptor to assure a constant heat transfer.

**Results and Discussion**

**Physical properties.**—The deposition conditions for the samples for the diffusion experiments were optimized to give a smooth surface, as determined with SEM. This yielded for the above described deposition conditions in a texture in between [111] and [220] orientation for the Si sample. The GeSi sample showed a weak [111] orientation. The [220] and [004] peaks were not observed.

Both the Ge$_{0.25}$Si$_{0.75}$ and the Si samples for the Hall measurements showed a deposited a [220] preferential orientation. Small [111] and [311] peaks were observed in the Fig. 1. The boron profiles are shown for 5 \cdot 10^{15} cm$^{-2}$, 20 keV BF$_2^+$ implanted poly-Si and poly-Ge$_{0.25}$Si$_{0.75}$ as determined by SIMS measurements. For poly-Ge$_{0.25}$Si$_{0.75}$, the as-implanted boron profile is depicted along with the distribution after 30 s 700, 850, and 900°C RTA anneal in N$_2$ ambient. For poly-Si the B-profiles after 30 s 700, 850, and 900°C are shown. It appears that the Hall measurements were performed using a 0.1 to 1.2 T magnet, to determine the sheet resistance, Hall mobility, and the Hall carrier concentration. Arsenic segregation to the grain boundaries was determined for the samples for the Hall experiments after implantation and anneal shows enhanced diffusion compared to poly-Si. Comparing the SIMS profiles of Fig. 1 it can be seen that the profile in poly-Si after a 30 s 800°C anneal shows enhanced diffusion compared to poly-Ge$_{0.25}$Si$_{0.75}$ after 30 s 850°C. In both cases for boron doped samples the sample at 900°C is sufficient to give a flat doping profile.

The diffusion of arsenic doped samples ($5 \cdot 10^{15}$ cm$^{-2}$, 60 keV) can be observed from Fig. 2. Simultaneous RTA anneals of the GeSi and Si samples of 30 s at 700, 800, 900, and 1000°C, respectively, show clearly the more rapid diffusion of As in Ge$_{0.25}$Si$_{0.75}$ than in Si. Note that out-diffusion to the N$_2$ ambient starts at the highest anneal temperature which the necessity of a capping layer to prevent outgassing which was not used here. The lower concentration found for the GeSi sample might be caused because we used the same matrix factors to calculate the sputter yield as for the poly-Si sample. Another problem is that it is difficult to distinguish As$^+$ and Ge$^{+4}$, that can form when some water vapor is left in the vacuum chamber. To double check, we repeated the experiment for 700°C anneal on a different sample which yielded a total of 4.5 \cdot 10^{15} cm$^{-2}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impurity</th>
<th>Grain size (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ge$<em>{0.25}$Si$</em>{0.75}$</td>
<td>Si</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 \cdot 10^{16} cm$^{-2}$ B, 5 min 950°C</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 \cdot 10^{16} cm$^{-2}$ B, 5 min 950°C</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 \cdot 10^{16} cm$^{-2}$ As, 5 min 950°C</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Discussion of the diffusion properties.—The diffusion constant can be determined from the diffusion profile under constant total dopant assumption. In the simple model assuming a Gaussian profile and constant total concentration, the concentration is given by

\[ C(x, t) = \exp \left( -\frac{x^2}{4Dt} \right) \]

were \( C \) (cm\(^{-3}\)) is the dopant concentration, \( x \) (cm) is the diffusion depth, \( D \) (cm\(^2\)/s) is the diffusion coefficient, and \( t \) (s) is the anneal time. By plotting the concentration in a semilogarithmic plot against the square of the (sputter depth-projected range), the diffusion constant can be extracted after correcting for the as-implanted profile. For the data under investigation, the maximum concentration is above the solid solubility, where hardly any diffusion takes place, so such a semilogarithmic plot will give several slopes for the different regimes. The diffusion constants presented here are the values in the tail region of the dopant profile.

For the boron doped GeSi sample at 850°C, the diffusion coefficient \( D = 3 \times 10^{-12} \) cm\(^2\)/s, for boron doped poly-Si, annealed at 800°C \( D = 8 \times 10^{-13} \) cm\(^2\)/s. The poly-Si data is in fairly good accordance with results reported by Suzuki et al.\(^{11}\) considering the possible error on the RTA temperature, possible differences in grain size and processing history and the very rough approximations made here. For the 900°C anneal only a lower limit to the diffusion constant can be determined because of the almost flat profile. The lower limit for both materials is given by \( D = 5 \times 10^{-13} \) cm\(^2\)/s. To determine \( D \) more accurately thicker poly layers in combination with a variation in diffusion times would be necessary. The lower diffusion constant of boron in GeSi was already observed for strained layers. Kuo et al.\(^{12}\) have shown a decreasing boron diffusivity with increasing Ge content (up to 20% Ge), and practically no dependence on biaxial strain. The lower diffusion constant of boron in GeSi is not a problem with the temperature budgets used in this study and for current CMOS thermal budgets, but it could become a severe problem if the anneal temperatures are significantly reduced. For RTA anneals the temperature range necessary for good dopant activation is 950 to 1100°C. Since this temperature range will provide a flat doping profile the diffusion of boron is not a limiting factor for CMOS processing.

From Fig. 2 the diffusion coefficient of arsenic in GeSi at 800°C was found to be \( D = 3 \times 10^{-12} \) cm\(^2\)/s. For the higher temperatures and for all the profiles in poly-Si no accurate diffusion coefficient could be determined either because of hardly any change in the profile or an almost flat profile. It has been reported that the diffusivity of As in bulk Ge close to the melting point, \( T_m \), is two orders of magnitude larger than that in bulk Si\(^{13}\) at \( T_m \). If a linear interpolation would be taken for GeSi the diffusivity in unstrained bulk material would be higher at \( T_m \). Of course any given anneal temperature will be closer to the melting point of GeSi than of Si, so in bulk material the diffusivity is expected to be higher, a trend we also observed in polycrystalline layers. In poly-GeSi the higher diffusion of arsenic might also be caused by the faster recrystallization of the damaged top layer.\(^{14}\)

The higher diffusivity of arsenic in poly-GeSi compensates for the lower dopant activation in the case of arsenic implantation (see below), giving acceptable gate depletion.\(^{15}\) For practically used temperature budgets in CMOS, no problem can be expected, as was the case for boron doped samples.

In summary, boron diffuses significantly slower in poly-Ge\(_{0.28}\)Si\(_{0.72}\) than in poly-Si, whereas arsenic diffuses more rapidly in poly-Ge\(_{0.28}\)Si\(_{0.72}\). The difference in diffusion
already observed for p-type monocristalline material also exists for the polycrystalline materials studied here. For practically used thermal budgets for CMOS the diffusion is adequate.

Electric activation.—Boron-doped samples.—In Fig. 3 the results of the Hall measurements (not corrected with $r_H$) are shown for a range of boron concentrations after a furnace anneal at 800°C for 60 min. This temperature budget ensures a flat dopant diffusion profile. On the horizontal axis the dopant concentration as calculated from the implantation dose is shown. The Hall measurements show a lower sheet resistance of poly-$\text{Ge}_{0.35}\text{Si}_{0.65}$ over the entire boron concentration range, which is caused by a higher hole mobility and a higher dopant activation. Also shown are the results for BF$_3$ doped samples with the higher doping concentrations ($10^{17}$ to $10^{20}$ cm$^{-3}$), having the same general trend as the B$^+$ doped samples.

Figure 4 shows the sheet resistance, Hall mobility, and percentage of dopant activation after an anneal of 5 min 950°C. Upon this changed thermal treatment the sheet resistance decreases for both poly-Si and poly-Ge$_{0.35}\text{Si}_{0.65}$ over the entire boron (B$^+$ and BF$_3$) concentration range. The lowest concentration shows a large increase in activation accompanied by a decrease in mobility for the poly-Si sample compared to the 800°C anneal. The GeSi sample shows a small increase in activation at the same mobility, indicating that the maximum of activation has been almost achieved with the lower anneal temperature. For the higher concentrations the mobility shows little change for both Si and GeSi. The 950°C anneal leads to a higher activation over the entire concentration range. Note that in the case of the highest boron doped layers Hall measurements yield a Hall activation of more than 100% because these data have not been corrected with the Hall scattering factor $r_H$. If the scattering factor for mono-Si is used for both materials (see experimental) the activation of the highest doped GeSi samples at a prolonged anneal at 950°C comes close to 100% indicating that this scattering factor is a reasonable first order estimation. This is also supported by the fact that the gate depletion for poly-Si and poly-$\text{Ge}_{0.35}\text{Si}_{0.65}$ gates measured on MOS capacitors is in reasonable accordance with simulations. Therefore we also assume $r_H$ to be equal for B$^+$ and BF$_3$ doped samples.

To determine the barrier heights of the grain boundary traps, $E_n$, and the number of traps per unit area, $N$, the sheet resistance has been determined as a function of the measurement temperature for poly-Si and -$\text{Ge}_{0.35}\text{Si}_{0.65}$ samples annealed for 60 min at 800°C. In Fig. 5 the logarithm of the normalized sheet resistance is plotted as a function of reciprocal temperature, showing a well-defined activation energy that decreased with increasing dopant concentration and that is lower for the GeSi samples compared to the Si samples at equal dose. The values of the barrier energies and the trap densities are given in Table II.

Another important aspect is dopant deactivation during a low temperature process following the final activation anneal. For example an LPCVD TEOS isolation layer is usually deposited at temperatures around 750°C, a temperature at which dopant deactivation can take place. In Fig. 6 the deactivation is shown of $5 \times 10^{15}$ cm$^{-2}$ doped samples after a 5 min 950°C anneal and subsequent anneal at 750°C of up to 60 min. The boron doped poly-Si sample shows far more deactivation, 42%, than the Ge$_{0.35}\text{Si}_{0.65}$ (23%). Surprisingly the mobility of both samples shows an increase of approximately 17%. If the initial anneal is repeated the sheet resistance returns to its original value. The BF$_3$ doped samples show a similar result with slightly enhanced deactivation for poly-Si. Note that the Hall scattering factor was assumed constant during this experiment. From literature we estimate the error on the $r_H$ with $\pm 10\%$. The deactivation in percentages are correct within 10% error margin even in the case that the assumption of equal $r_H$ for both materials should not be correct. Hence poly-GeSi shows significant lower dopant deactivation which is advantageous for future processes that require ultrahigh dopant activation.

Discussion of the B$^+$ and BF$_3$ materials.—Since boron does not segregate toward the grain boundaries, the electrical behavior of boron doped polycrystalline samples can be explained by the carrier trapping model. This model states that electrically active trapping states at the grain boundaries trap carriers, resulting in a potential barrier which blocks the transport of free carriers between the grains, thus reducing the carrier mobility. At low dopant concentrations adding more carriers will increase the potential barrier. When the concentration increases above a critical value, $N_*$, all traps are filled and additional carriers will decrease the potential barrier and neutral...
regions will form in the grains. The critical dopant concentration depends on the number of traps per unit area $N_t$ (cm$^{-2}$) and the grain size $L$ (cm)

$$N^* = \frac{N_t}{L}$$  \hspace{1cm} [3]$$

For $5 \times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-2}$ traps, a typical value for $N_t$, and a grain size on 50 nm the critical concentration is $N^* = 10^{10}$ cm$^{-2}$, so all samples used in this study can be assumed to lie in the range where the potential barrier decreases with increasing dopant concentration. In the case of polycrystalline both p-type and n-type doped material will show a similar trapping behavior. In the case of poly-Ge the traps at the grain boundaries are p-type, the energy levels of the traps shift toward the valence band, so that barriers only form in n-type doped material. It is likely GeSi shows a behavior in between the two, with a lower potential barrier for both dopant concentrations. In Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 we can see that for the most lowly doped samples the sheet resistance of poly-Si is much higher than that of the GeSi sample, mainly caused by a lower Hall mobility. From the Arrhenius plot of Fig. 5 we determined the barrier energy $E_b$ (eV) and the trap density per unit area of the grain boundary material, $N_t$ (cm$^{-2}$), for two dopant concentrations using

$$R_s \propto \exp \left(\frac{E_b}{kT}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} [4]$$

and

$$E_b = qN_t^2/\delta eN$$  \hspace{1cm} [5]$$

Table II. Grain boundary energy barriers $E_b$ and density of trapping states $N_t$ of boron doped poly-Si and poly-Ge$_{0.35}$Si$_{0.65}$ samples for two concentrations. $N_t$ is calculated using both the dielectric constant for Si and an average between the value for Si and Ge.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$E_b$ (eV)</th>
<th>$N_t$ ($\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{Si}$) (cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>$N_t$ ($\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{Ge}$) (cm$^{-2}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Si, 1 \times 10^{11} cm$^{-2}$ B, 60 min 800°C</td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td>2.9 \times 10^{11}</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GeSi, 1 \times 10^{12} cm$^{-2}$ B, 60 min 800°C</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>2.1 \times 10^{11}</td>
<td>2.2 \times 10^{11}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si, 1 \times 10^{11} cm$^{-2}$ B, 60 min 800°C</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>3.7 \times 10^{11}</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GeSi, 1 \times 10^{12} cm$^{-2}$ B, 60 min 800°C</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>2.9 \times 10^{11}</td>
<td>3.1 \times 10^{11}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where $N$ (cm$^{-3}$) is the acceptor concentration, $k$ is the Boltzmann constant, and $\varepsilon$ is the dielectric constant of the material. For GeSi both $\varepsilon_{Si} = 11.7$ and the weighted average between Si and Ge ($\varepsilon_{GeSi} = 13.3$) were used to calculate $N_t$ from the barrier heights. The obtained values for $E_b$ and $N_t$ are listed in Table II. For both dopant concentrations the trap density in Si is larger than in GeSi, and the assumption of all concentrations being above the critical concentration $N^*$ is valid with these trap densities.

The mobility depends linearly on the grain size and exponentially on potential barrier height, it can be expressed as

$$\mu \propto \left(\frac{L}{kT}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{E_b}{kT}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} [6]$$

The difference in grain size $L$ (see Table I) between GeSi and Si can account for approximately a factor of 1.5 in mobility. The difference in the barrier energy $E_b$ can lead to a factor 19 difference in mobility for $N = 1 \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-2}$. Because of the very high sheet resistance of the poly-Si sample at $N = 1 \times 10^{18}$ cm$^{-2}$ we were not able to perform an accurate Hall measurement for this sample but extrapolating the data as measured, the difference in mobility can be explained by the larger grain size and the lower potential barrier for the boron doped GeSi sample. The lower Hall concentration for the Si sample can be attributed to the filling of the traps, an effect that becomes relatively less important at higher implantation doses, where the curves approach each other. For the concentrations around $N = 1 \times 10^{18}$ cm$^{-2}$ not only the active carrier concentrations approach each other also the mobilities come closer together. For both materials the potential barriers are lower with increasing dopant concentration and the relative difference becomes smaller, so that the effect of the potential barriers becomes less important. At a boron concentration of $N = 1 \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-2}$ both the grain size and the barrier difference each contribute to approximately a factor 1.5 difference in mobility giving a mobility that is approximately 2.5 times larger for poly-GeSi. This is consistent with the measurements in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4.

In the highest dopant regime under study, the activation of poly-Ge$_{0.35}$Si$_{0.65}$ becomes significantly higher than that of poly-Si. Comparing the percentages of activation after 60 min 800°C from Fig. 3, at $N = 2 \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-2}$ we find 93 and 82% for GeSi and Si, respectively. At $N = 1 \times 10^{18}$ cm$^{-2}$ the activation is 78 and 50%, respectively. The optimum in the activation can be explained assuming that at the highest dopant concentrations the solid solubility is surpassed. Comparing Fig. 3 and Fig. 4, we find that the higher anneal temperature gives a higher dopant activation for all dopant concentrations. The 5 min 950°C annealed samples give an
activation for \( N = 2 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3} \) of 123 and 113% for GeSi and Si, respectively, and for \( N = 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-3} \) this is 116 and 95%, respectively. The larger than 100% activation can be explained because these data are not corrected for the Hall scattering factor, as mentioned before.

After a 5 min anneal at 950°C (Fig. 4) the activation of boron at \( N = 1 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3} \) shows relatively less decrease when compared to \( N = 2 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3} \), than the samples annealed at 800°C, presented in Fig. 3. This is in accordance with the fact that the solid solubility of boron increases with increasing temperature. From our data, after correcting with the scattering factor, we extract the solid solubility for the GeSi sample at 800 and 950°C anneal respectively 6 \( \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3} \) and 9 \( \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3} \). The solid solubility of the Si samples are 3 \( \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3} \) at 800°C and 6 \( \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3} \) at 950°C. The values for the solid solubility of boron in poly-Si we have obtained are in good accordance with results of Suzuki et al.\(^2\) who found 6 \( \times 10^{19} \) and 1.5 \( \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-3} \) at 800 and 1100°C, respectively, using Hall measurements without correction factor. For single-crystalline Ge the melting point distribution coefficient of boron is a factor 2 larger than that in Si,\(^7\) a quantity that is linked to the solid solubility. The value for GeSi will lie in between the two values at the melting point. The observed trend in polycrystalline material is therefore the same as in bulk material.

The BF\(_2\) doped samples show the same general behavior as the B doped samples, having a slightly higher sheet resistance due to the difference in layer thickness. The mobility of BF\(_2\) doped samples might be different because of a difference in grain size due to the amorphization of the top layer. The presence of large amounts of fluorine in the material might limit the mobility and could influence the solid solubility of boron, but further research is needed to fully explain the difference between the two p-type dopant species.

The deactivation measurement presented in Fig. 5 of the 5 \( \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) doped samples is additional proof that the solid solubility of boron in GeSi is higher than in poly-Si samples. The increase in mobility of about 17% means that once the traps at the grain boundaries are filled the deactivation process does not affect the number of filled traps and the energy barriers at the grain boundaries. The mobility is enhanced because of the reduction of charged scattering centers, for example by the forming of neutral clusters of boron. The rate of deactivation is higher for poly-Si, this can be attributed to the difference in diffusivity of boron, and therefore the time needed to form neutral clusters. After a 60 min anneal at 750°C the hole concentration is still higher than the hole concentration after a 60 min 800°C anneal as seen in Fig. 3. This means that the maximum deactivation until the solid solubility level at 750°C, is not reached in 60 min. For practical use in CMOS technologies the deactivation can be minimized by decreasing the duration of the low temperature step. Even more effective would be decreasing the temperature at which the LPCVD TEOS layers are deposited since the diffusion of the dopants influences the rate of deactivation.

In summary, the change in the position of the energy levels of the grain boundary traps toward the valence band in poly-GeSi make the potential barriers lower. As a result a higher mobility for boron doped poly-GeSi samples is found. Preliminary results on phosphorous doped samples show similar results, in contrast to results presented by King et al.\(^2\) Here n-type doped GeSi shows an increase in mobility and dopant activation up to a Ge content of 35%.

**Arsenic doped samples.**—Figure 7 shows the results of the Hall experiments on arsenic doped layers, which were furnace annealed for 30 min at 950°C. An increase in the sheet resistance and a decreasing dopant activation for GeSi samples compared to the Si reference samples is observed. Also, a decrease in the Hall electron mobility for GeSi samples is found. Preliminary results on phosphorous doped samples show similar results, in contrast to results presented by King et al.\(^2\) Here n-type doped GeSi shows an increase in mobility and dopant activation up to a Ge content of 35%.

In Fig. 8 the results are shown for 60 min anneals at varying temperatures. The sheet resistance of the \( N = 1.7 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-3} \) doped samples decreases with increasing anneal temperature caused by a linear increase in mobility and an increased dopant activation. The difference between the poly-Si and poly-GeSi sample is most pronounced at the lowest anneal temperature. The Ge\(_0.35\)Si\(_{0.65}\) layers shows an continuous decreasing sheet resistance whereas the poly-
a slight decrease. The decrease in Hall electron concentration is almost flat for the sheet resistance or better even poly-Si sample increases but the poly-GeSi sample shows about 40% for both poly-Si and poly-Ge0.35Si0.65. Note that the sheet resistance of the latter case this is already obtained after the first 20 mm anneal. Note also that the sheet resistance of the N = 3.3 · 10^{18} cm^{-2} As doped poly-Si samples all vary around 1.1, for the poly-GeSi sample this is significantly larger. The smaller ratio for poly-Si compared to literature is attributed to the GB/GR-ratio before (1.7) and after (1.5) the deactivation is determined by means of EDX measurements in an HR-TEM setup. Poly-Si and poly-Ge0.35Si0.65 samples doped with 1.7 · 10^{19}cm^{-2} As after a 30 min 950°C anneal were investigated. Also shown are the results after deactivation, e.g., 30 min 950°C + 60 mm 750°C. The result shows a clearly higher ratio for the GeSi samples compared to Si. Previous experiments on in situ doped poly-Si samples with a scanning TEM (STEM) show a ratio of approximately three. Note that the technique used in this work shows a difference between GeSi and Si, but the absolute values of number of segregated As atoms cannot be calculated since the ellipse shaped beam is estimated to be approximately ten times larger than the width of the grain boundary, this means that the actual effect can be ten times larger than the measured ratio. The GB/GR-ratios for poly-Si samples all vary around 1.1, for the poly-GeSi sample this is significantly larger. The smaller ratio for poly-Si compared to literature is attributed to the spot size of the used electron beam. The effect of deactivation on segregation measured with EDX is shown in the last two columns in Fig. 11. For poly-Si both the ratio after activation and after the subsequent deactivation is approximately one, and no difference can be determined within the error margins of the technique. For poly-GeSi the GB/GR-ratio before (1.7) and after (1.5) the deactivation anneal is also the same, within the error margins of the technique.

Table III. Grain boundary energy barriers (E_b) and density of trapping states (N_1) of arsenic doped poly-Si and poly-Ge0.35Si0.65 samples for two concentrations. N_1 is calculated using both the dielectric constant for Si and an average between the value for Si and Ge.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>E_b (eV)</th>
<th>N_1 (ε = ε_g) (cm^{-3})</th>
<th>N_1 (ε = ε_s) (cm^{-3})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Si, 3.3 · 10^{18} cm^{-2} As, 30 min 950°C</td>
<td>0.289</td>
<td>7.0 · 10^{12}</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GeSi, 3.3 · 10^{19} cm^{-2} As, 30 min 950°C</td>
<td>0.341</td>
<td>7.6 · 10^{12}</td>
<td>8.1 · 10^{12}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si, 1.7 · 10^{19} cm^{-2} As, 30 min 950°C</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>6.2 · 10^{12}</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GeSi, 1.7 · 10^{19} cm^{-2} As, 30 min 950°C</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>8.2 · 10^{12}</td>
<td>8.8 · 10^{12}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion of the As' doped materials.—In n-type doped polycrystalline samples both dopant segregation toward the grain boundaries and carrier trapping plays a role in the electrical behavior of the samples. In Fig. 7 the increase in Hall concentration with the donor concentration is much larger than for the boron-doped samples. The very low dopant activation, 22 and 13% at \( N = 1.7 \times 10^{18} \text{cm}^{-3} \) and 55 and 35% at \( N = 1.7 \times 10^{18} \text{cm}^{-3} \) in poly-Si and poly-GeSi, respectively, can be attributed to the segregation of As toward the grain boundaries. Since dopant segregation is reduced at higher anneal temperatures the Hall electron concentration increases rapidly with the anneal temperature, as can be seen from Fig. 8. The low sheet resistance and relatively high electron concentration for poly-Si annealed for 60 min at 600°C can be attributed to solid phase epitaxy and the very low diffusion constant at this temperature. Hence only a small amount of As can reach the grain boundaries or cluster and a high electron concentration is observed. The higher diffusivity of As in GeSi causes the Hall concentration at 600°C anneals for the GeSi sample to be very low already, due to segregation. It is likely that solid phase epitaxy in GeSi takes place at lower anneal temperatures, so that a maximum in the sheet resistance might be observed when adding measurements at lower anneal temperatures. The trend in Fig. 7 and Fig. 8 shows the same general behavior for GeSi and Si samples, both indicate that As segregation is applicable.

Not only segregation but also carrier trapping plays a role in the electrical behavior of arsenic-doped films. From Table III we can observe that GeSi samples have an increased potential barrier energy at the grain boundaries and the amount of traps is increased with respect to the Si reference sample. This is caused by a shift in energy level of the grain boundaries traps toward the valence band in n-type doped GeSi. The lowest arsenic concentration, \( N = 1.7 \times 10^{18} \text{cm}^{-3} \) in Fig. 7 shows a much lower Hall mobility for GeSi than for Si. Using Eq. 5 and the values for the barrier heights in Table III, we can account for a four times larger electron mobility of Si at this concentration. The increase in mobility and the reduction of the difference between GeSi and Si is due to the decrease of the barrier energy with increasing dopant concentration. Although we do not have grain sizes and barrier energies for all samples the trend seems to be clear. At the lowest concentrations the difference in barrier height is most important and at higher concentrations the effect of the energy barrier difference and the difference in grain size will have an effect in the same order of magnitude, only of opposite sign resulting in equal mobilities for both materials.

At high concentrations the solid solubility of As becomes the limiting factor determining the amount of free electrons. In Fig. 7 for the highest As implantation concentrations the curve for Si is almost linear, whereas for GeSi the concentration saturates indicating that the solid solubility of As is reached in the GeSi sample, and that it is smaller in poly-GeSi than in poly-Si. The bulk solid solubility of As in pure Ge is more than a decade lower than that in Si,23 at the melting point (\( T_m \)) and the value for Ge, Si, As will probably be in between the data for Ge and Si. We assume that the trend will be the same in polycrystalline materials and the Hall data seem to corroborate this. From Fig. 7 the maximum As concentration in GeSi is found to be \( 8 \times 10^{19} \text{cm}^{-2} \) and the kink in the Hall concentration curve indicated that this is the solid solubility for As in GeSi. The maximum As concentration in poly-Si is \( 2 \times 10^{20} \text{cm}^{-3} \) which equals the value of the solid solubility in poly-Si reported by others indicating that here also the solid solubility is almost reached.

The EDX measurements in a TEM setup on the highest As concentration samples presented in Fig. 11 show clearly that the amount of As segregated toward the grain boundaries is significantly larger in poly-Ge0.35Si0.65. The deactivation of poly-Si has been attributed previously to arsenic segregation to the grain boundaries, a subsequent high temperature anneal would result in a "desegregation" back into the grain.22 Our EDX data indicate that changes in segregation are small, this might be caused by restrictions of the resolution of the measurement technique and partially by the fact that at high dopant concentrations the number of dopants that segregate is relatively small compared to the total number of dopant atoms. The very large grains in combination with the low diffusivity at 750°C may also prevent As segregating to a larger extent. The observed electrical deactivation in Fig. 10 can partly be caused by the segregation to the grain boundary. However, the exceeding of the solid solubility of As in Si or GeSi leading to the formation of neutral clusters of arsenic could be more important. Since the deactivation is combined with a large increase in electron mobility, a reduction in the concentration of charged states toward the valence band is likely. With the resolution of our EDX data we cannot conclude whether or not dopant segregation toward the grain boundaries is an important cause of deactivation. It seems likely that also cluster formation by the exceeding of the solid solubility is an important cause of the deactivation.

In summary, from Fig. 7 and Fig. 8 we can conclude that the difference in activation behavior between poly-Ge0.35Si0.65 and poly-Si is probably caused by difference in solid phase epitaxy behavior and a lower diffusivity of As in poly-Si, preventing segregation at 600°C in poly-Si. More segregation of As toward the grain boundaries occurs in poly-GeSi and a lower solid solubility of As in GeSi is found which is of importance for the highest impurity concentrations. The mobility difference is caused by a reduced trap density and a lower energy barrier in poly-GeSi. This is compensated by the larger grain size at higher dopant concentrations. The grain size is an important factor and might explain the lower sheet resistance for phosphorous doped poly-GeSi compared to poly-Si observed by others.22

Conclusions

The electrical properties of poly-GeSi have been studied in detail. We have shown that boron diffuses significantly faster in poly-Si than in poly-GeSi. However, for both materials 30 s at 900°C gives an almost flat doping profile. Hence the reduced diffusion constant does not limit the processing. Arsenic shows an enhanced diffusivity in GeSi and a 30 s at anneal 900°C also provides an almost flat profile. The difference in diffusion is significant but for thermal budgets used in current CMOS processes the diffusion is not a limiting factor.

The shift of the energy levels of the grain boundary trapping states toward the valence band in poly-GeSi causes a reduction in energy barriers and trap density at the grain boundary in p-type doped GeSi compared to Si. For n-type doped GeSi this shift in energy levels causes an increase in barrier height and trap density with respect to Si. This results in a higher hole mobility in p-type GeSi and a lower electron mobility in n-type GeSi with respect to Si. The barrier heights have the largest effect for low dopant concentrations. For intermediate doping concentrations the larger grain size of GeSi samples plays a role. For arsenic-doped GeSi besides the difference in barrier...
height also the enhanced segregation of arsenic toward the grain boundaries and cluster formation reduce the Hall electron concentration when compared to the reference poly-Si sample. For very high dopant concentrations, as commonly used in state-of-the-art CMOS processes, the solid solubility of the dopants is the limiting factor in the dopant activation. The solid solubility of boron is larger in GeSi than in Si leading to a higher maximum hole concentration. The solid solubility of arsenic is lower in GeSi than in Si resulting in a lower maximum electron concentration. Applying a reduced temperature will lead to deactivation of dopants. Boron doped Si shows 42% deactivation after 60 min 750°C which is almost twice the percentage of deactivation in GeSi (23%). Arsenic doped Si and GeSi both have 40% deactivation after 60 min 750°C. The rate of deactivation is dictated by the diffusivity of the dopant species so deactivation can be minimized by reducing the time and the temperature of any post activation process steps.

The results presented in this paper show that from the point of view of dopant diffusion and electrical activation no significant problems occur when polycrystalline germanium-silicon alloys are used as gate material for sub-micron MOS devices. The effect of \( \Phi_{\text{P+}} \) can be exploited for devices and will be reported in another paper.
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